

NCSBY Fact Sheet

Adolescent Sex Offenders: Common Misconceptions vs. Current Evidence

Adolescent sex offenders (ASOs) are defined as adolescents from 13 to 17 who commit illegal sexual behavior as defined by the sex crime statutes of the jurisdiction in which the offense occurred. This fact sheet will inform juvenile justice professionals, child welfare personnel, educators, policymakers, clinicians, parents, and the general public about the current information on this population.

COMMON MISCONCEPTIONS

CURRENT EVIDENCE

1. Few sex crimes are committed by adolescents.

ASOs commit a substantial number of sex crimes, including 17% of all arrests for sex crimes¹ and approximately 1/3 of all sex offenses against children.²

2. Only adolescent males commit sex offenses.

Females under the age of 18 account for 1% of forcible rapes committed by juveniles and 7% of all juvenile arrests for sex offenses, excluding the category of prostitution.³

3. ASOs are curious about sexuality and do not commit serious sex offenses.

While some illegal sexual behavior by ASOs is limited, such as touching a child over the clothes, other ASOs have extensive, aggressive sexual behavior including forced anal or vaginal intercourse.⁴

4. ASOs come from highly dysfunctional families.

There is no specific family profile for ASOs.

No unique family pattern has been identified for ASOs.⁴ The characteristics of ASO families are diverse and may or may not be considered dysfunctional.⁵



COMMON MISCONCEPTIONS

5. ASOs were molested as children.
6. ASOs will become adult sexual offenders.
7. ASOs need long-term (3–5 years) intensive therapy (2–5 sessions per week).
8. ASOs should be placed in secure, residential treatment facilities.
9. ASOs have other serious psychological disorders.
10. ASOs should not attend public schools.

CURRENT EVIDENCE

Many ASOs were not sexually victimized as children.^{4,7,8}

The self-reported rates of sexual victimization of ASOs range from 20%⁸ to 55%.⁴ Several studies have shown higher rates of self-reported physical abuse than sexual abuse.⁸

Current research shows that the sexual re-offense rate for ASOs who receive treatment is low in most US settings.⁹

Studies suggest that the rates of sexual re-offense (5 – 14%) are substantially lower than the rates for other delinquent behavior (8 – 58%).^{10,11} The assumption that the majority of ASOs will become adult sex offenders is not supported by the current literature.¹²

Many ASOs are successfully treated in shorter, less intensive treatment programs.

Many ASOs are seen in outpatient group treatment programs that meet once a week for 8 to 28 months.¹³

Most ASOs can safely remain in the community during treatment.⁶

Some ASOs need residential placement; however, there is some professional consensus that most ASOs can be treated on an outpatient basis. Decisions about placement in residential or incarcerated settings should depend on community safety and treatment issues. The possible negative effects of out-of-home placement, such as increased risk of socialization into a delinquent lifestyle, negative peer influences, weakening of family ties, absence of parental involvement in treatment, and disruption of normal adolescent social development, should be considered.

Many ASOs do not have other major psychological problems.

Some ASOS have serious psychological problems, including conduct disorders, depression, and learning disabilities that need to be addressed during treatment.⁴

Many ASOs can safely attend public schools and participate in school activities such as sports programs, the band, or the school newspaper.¹⁴

COMMON MISCONCEPTIONS

CURRENT EVIDENCE

11. There are instruments that can determine whether or not an adolescent is at high risk to re-offend.

There is currently no test or scientifically validated instrument that can reliably determine if an adolescent will commit a subsequent sex offense.¹⁵ There are instruments (J-SOAP-II, ERASOR-2) under development to assess, with reliability and validity, the risk for future sex offenses by adolescents.

12. ASOs are similar in most ways to adult sex offenders.

Most ASOs differ from adult sex offenders in several ways.

ASOs are different from adult sex offenders in that they have lower recidivism rates, engage in fewer abusive behaviors over shorter periods of time, and have less aggressive sexual behavior.¹⁶

Additional information about adolescent sex offenders and children with sexual behavior problems is available from the **National Center on Sexual Behavior of Youth**, www.ncsby.org.

References:

- ¹U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigations. (1999). *Crime in the United States: Uniform crime reports 1998*. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- ²Snyder, H. N., & Sickmund, M. (1999). *Juvenile Offenders and Victims: 1999 National Report*. Washington, DC: Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.
- ³Snyder, H. (2002, November). Juvenile Arrests 2000. *OJJDP Juvenile Justice Bulletin* (Cooperative Agreement No. 1999-JN-FX-K002). Washington, DC: Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, U.S. Department of Justice.
- ⁴Weinrott, M. R. (Ed.). (1996). *Juvenile sexual aggression: A critical review*. Boulder, CO: University of Colorado, Boulder, Center for the Study and Prevention of Violence.
- ⁵Graves, R. B., Openshaw, D. K., Ascione, F. R., & Erickson, S. L. (1996). Demographic and parental characteristics of youthful sexual offenders. *International Journal of Offender Therapy & Comparative Criminology*, 40, 300-317.
- ⁶Chaffin, M., Letourneau, E., & Silovsky, J. F., (2002). Adults, adolescents, and children who sexually abuse children: A developmental perspective. In J. E. B. Myers, L. Berliner, J. Briere, C. T. Hendrix, C. Jenny, & T. A. Reid (Eds.), *The APSAC handbook on child maltreatment* (2nd ed., pp. 205-232). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- ⁷Hanson, R. K., & Slater, S. (1988). Sexual victimization in the history of sexual abusers: A review. *Annals of Sex Research*, 1, 485-499.
- ⁸Becker, J. V., Kaplan, M., & Tenke, C. (1992). The relationship of abuse history, denial and erectile response profiles of adolescent sexual perpetrators. *Behavior Therapy*, 23, 87-97.
- ⁹Alexander, M. A. (1999). Sexual offender treatment efficacy revisited. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 11, 101-116.
- ¹⁰Worling, J. R., & Curwin, T. (2000). Adolescent sexual offender recidivism: Success of specialized treatment and implication for risk prediction. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, 24, 965-982.
- ¹¹Schram, D. D., Milloy, C. D., & Rowe, W. E. (1991). *Juvenile sex offenders: A follow-up study of reoffense behavior*. Olympia, WA: Washington State Institute for Public Policy.
- ¹²Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers (ATSA). (2000, March 11). *The effective legal management of juvenile sex offenders*. Retrieved from <http://www.atsa.com/ppjuvenile.html>
- ¹³Burton, D. L., & Smith-Darden, J. (2000). *North American Survey of Sexual Abuser Treatment and Models: Summary Data*. The SaferSociety Foundation, Inc. Brandon, VT: SaferSociety Press.
- ¹⁴Becker, J. V. (personal communication, February 7, 2002).
- ¹⁵Bonner, B. L., Marx, B. P., Thompson, J. M., & Michaelson, P. (1998). Assessment of adolescent sexual offenders. *Child Maltreatment*, 3, 374-383.
- ¹⁶Miranda, A. O., & Corcoran, C. L. (2000). Comparison of perpetration characteristics between male juvenile and adult sexual offenders: Preliminary results. *Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment*, 12, 179-188.

This Fact Sheet was prepared through the **National Center on Sexual Behavior of Youth** at the Center on Child Abuse and Neglect, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center and was authored by Barbara L. Bonner, PhD, Mark Chaffin, PhD, and Keri Pierce, MSW. This project is funded by grant number 01-JR-BX-K002 from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), US Department of Justice.

Opinions in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official positions or policies of the US Department of Justice/Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

The University of Oklahoma is an equal opportunity institution.